



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,394	03/	31/2000	William Alan Holder	END9-2000-0013-US1 2193	
7	1590	04/09/2003			
Blanche E Sc			EXAMINER		
Heslin & Roth 5 Columbia Ci	rcle		WOOD, WILLIAM H		
Albany, NY	12203-516	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2124	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No	Applicant(a)				
	•	Application	i No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summans	09/540,394		HOLDER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	TI MAU INC DATE of this commission	William H. V		2124				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 January 2003</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
. —	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election rec	wirement					
•	ion Papers	ir andror election rec	quirement.					
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	-					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ o	bjected to by the Exar	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed or	n is: a)∏ app	oroved b)∏ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	-948) 5		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claims 1-40 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims contain the limitation *calling program and callee program coexist within a single executable module but have different machine context organizations*. This limitation appears to be only briefly mentioned in the specification on page 22, lines 15-18 and is not described in such a manner as to allow one of ordinary skill in the art implement such a feature. Questions arise as to how this single module is able to execute on a particular machine if the module is in fact designed for multiple machine contexts. Clearly some of the instructions or data formats must not be executable on the particular machine, which would make the module inoperative. Therefore, enablement is lacking for this limitation.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 11, 21 and 22 and all their dependent claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are the steps pertaining to how the calling and callee programs are made to exist within a single executable module and communicate while the said programs are of differing machine context organizations. Furthermore, steps pertaining how the linkage service relates to the determining savearea step are lacking.

Examiner's Response

Applicant's arguments, see paper 5, filed 30 January 2003, with respect to claims 1-40 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior art rejection of the previous Office Action, paper 4, has been withdrawn.

This action is not made final.

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (703)305-3305. The examiner can normally be reached 7:30am - 5:00pm Monday thru Thursday and 7:30am - 4:00pm every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703)305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood April 4, 2003 Vavar Chai

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21(1)